

The full text of this article is entitled: Study and Explanation of The System of Signs in The Reading of Religious Architecture of Zandieh Period (Case Study: Vakil Mosque, Shiraz) Published in the same issue.

# Study and Explanation of The System of Signs in The Reading of Religious Architecture of Zandieh Period (Case Study: Vakil Mosque, Shiraz)

Zahra Yarmahmoodi\*, Department of Architecture, Shiraz Branch, Islamic Azad University, Shiraz, Iran..

Fatemeh Niknahad, Department of Architecture, Shiraz Branch, Islamic Azad University, Shiraz, Iran.

# Introduction

Semiotics is one of the prominent characteristics of human beings that from the first period of their life has a special effect on creativity, innovation and in the field of art, and according to Islamic views, it is a sign, physical or worldly characteristic inherent in spirituality (Chitsazian, 2006). In other words, the totality of this world is a sign of another world. Lanker believes that art is the invention of images as a sign of human emotion, and that forms usually include conceptual expression, symbols to express emotion, and include a rational, purposeful, and direct relationship. The title of indigenous architecture is as important as the subject of aesthetics and mysticism, in the purity of thought and the preservation of nature. Indigenous architecture, although it has changed at different times, but has the ability to preserve its special identity and reflects their customs, climate, spirit, feelings, thought and art. What once had a tradition of architectural culture as a tradition is now just like a lifeless body in front of us, and the nature of present-day society seeks to find and benefit from the values of the past. There is a need to study in order to recognize the strange and forgotten values in themselves (Ardalan & Bakhtiar, 2000). This Research sought to answer the question of Vakil Mosque architecture as the only survivor of the Great Mosque of the Zand period influenced by the concepts and themes

of culture is formed, in this regard, the research has recognized the nature of Zandieh's works in Shiraz through cultural expression tools. Here, if cultural tools are defined as a set of rules, rules, materials, combinations, elements, forms, and practices that manifest in specific ways in architecture, in addition to identity, they can be symbols in the transmission and reflection of content, meaning, or concept. Accordingly, it is expected that in the formation of art and architecture of this period, cultural-human factors such as Zandieh tribal culture along with the cultural-artistic context of Shiraz have played a stronger role than material-environmental factors.

# Methodology

The nature of the research is qualitative and the research method is descriptiveanalytical, interpretive and documentary, library and field studies have been used to collect information.



### **Results and Discussion**

The semiotics of the motifs and drawings of Vakil Mosque indicate that in the architecture of the building, the strong mystical attitude of the Safavid era has been simplified by moving towards the content of ethnic culture and art and has formed a uniform and simplified structure of the architectural pattern of Iranian mosques.

#### Conclusion

The concepts of motifs and drawings are important in explaining the values of the ethnic art of the Zand dynasty and as a potential context for the emergence and display of the aesthetic components of Zandieh art.

Keyword: Semiotics, Religious Architecture, Zandieh Period, Vakil Mosque, Shiraz.

\*Corresponding author: yarmahmoodiz@gmail.com

### References

#### **Persian Refrences:**

- AfsharMohajer K. (2001). Symbolism in the traditional arts. *Journal of Art*, (6): 50-63.
- Sojudi F. (2003). Applied Semiotics.
- Amraei B. (2011). Semiotic Analysis of Postmodern Design. Bagh-e Nazar, 8(16): 65-78.
- Ardalan N, Bakhtiar L. (2000). The Sense of Unity: The Sufi Tradition in Persian Architecture. *ABC International Group*.
- Bemanian, M, Okhovat H, Almasifar T. (2010). Traditional Architecture and Urban Developmentin the Islamic Countries. *Helleh/Tahan Publishing House*, Tehran.
- Chitsazian A. (2006). Symbolism and its effect on carpets. *Goljam Journal*, Iranian Carpet Scientific Association, (45), Tehran.
- Chandler D, Parsa M. (2008). Fundamentals of Semiotics. Surah Mehr Publications, Tehran.
- Cooper J. (2000). Illustrated culture of traditional symbols. Translated by Malih Karbasian, *Farshad Publishing*.
- Dahar A, AliPour R. (2013). Geometrical analysis of architecture of Sheik Lotfollah Mosque. *Bagh-e Nazar*, 10(26): 33-40.
- Dehkhoda A. (1998). Dictionary. Tehran University, Tehran.
- Emamifar N. (2009). Applied semiotics.
- Farasat M. (2006). The usage of architectural elements in modern mosques emphasizing on geometrical patterns and inscriptions. *Islamic Art*, 2(4): 61-84.
- Farhad Mortezaei, Processing and Planning Company.
- Ghaeminia A. (2006). Semiotics and philosophy of language: 3-24.
- Giro P. (2004). Semiotics. Translation: Mohammad Nabavi.
- Hatem G. (1997). Mosque of Islamic Art. Art Journal, (33).
- Hill D, Graber O. (1996). Islamic Architecture and Decoration. Translation: Mehrdad Vahouti Daneshmand. *Scientific and Culture*.
- Madadpour M. (2005). Manifestations of spiritual wisdom in Islamic art. *Islamic Propaganda* Organization, International Publishing Company.
- Madanipour A. (2000). Urban design. An Attitude Towards a Social and Spatial Process.
- Mahdavinejad M. (2010). Wisdom of Islamic Architecture: Recognition of Iranian Islamic Architecture Principles. *Honar-Ha-Ye-Ziba*, (19): 57-66.
- Majedi H, Zarabadi Z. (2010). An Investigation in the Urban Semeiology. Armanshahr



Architecture & Urban Development, 3(4): 49-56.

- Mohebi H.R., Ashouri M.T. (2006). Symbol and sign in pattern design for Maybod historic Ziloos of Mehrab design (SAT). *Goljaam Journal*, (1): 42-60.
- Mohammadi A. (2006). Shiraz Behesht Iran. Persepolis Publications.
- Nasri A. (2009). The Wisdom of Christian Icons. *Cheshmeh Publishing*.
- Noghrekar A. (2010). Theoretical foundations of architecture.
- Noruzitalab, A. (2007). The question of hermeneutics. *The Monthly Scientific Journal of Bagh- E Nazar*, 4(7): 61-92.
- Noroozitalab A. (2010). An Inquiry into (morphology) Iconology of Artwork and Meaning Perception. *The Monthly Scientific Journal of Bagh- E Nazar*, 7(14), 69-86.
- Pakbaz R. (2002). Iranian painting from ancient times to today. Zarrin and Simin Publishing, Tehran.
- Pourjafar B, Vasigh, M. (2008). A Study of the Architectural Elements of Landscape in the Quran's Paradise based on the Sura al-Rahman. *The Quarterly Journal of Quran's Interdisciplinary Studies*, 1(1): 83 97.
- Pope A. (1959). Iranian Art. *Culture and Art*, Tehran.
- Saneh M. (1990). The origin of photography in Shiraz. Soroush Publications: 155.
- Sasani F. (2010). Meaning: Towards social semiotics. Tehran.
- Saussure F. (2003). General Linguistics Course. Translated by Kourosh Safavi.
- Shayestehfar M. (2005). Shiite Art. Institute of Islamic Art Studies, Tehran.
- Weiss S. (2006). Architecture, human and local identity. Zarbiar Journal, (60): 61-94.
- Yarmahmoodi, Zahra, Parva, Mohammad. (2021). Review the Relationship between Learning Methods and Undergraduate Architecture Courses. *Iranian Urbanism*, 4(6).
- Yarmahmoodi, Zahra, Sistanikarampour, Shaghayegh, Ghayoorfar, Alireza. (2020). The Effect of Existentialism Approach on Kamran Diba's Designs (Case Studies: Shafaq Park, Shooshtar City and Museum of Contemporary Art). *Iranian Urbanism*, 3(4).
- Zamarshid H. (2011). Teachings of the Iranian Architecture and Residential House construction from the Qajar period up to the present. *Journal of studies on Iranian Islamic City*, 1(3): 1-10.
- Zaypour J. (1974). Ornamental motifs in Iran from the beginning to the Median era. *Ministry of Culture and Arts*, factors in aesthetic structure of the Iranian paintings. *Honar-Ha-Ye-Ziba: Honar-Ha-Ye-Tajassomi*, 2017; 22(4): 23-32. doi: 10.22059/jfava.2017.131160.665268.
- Zimrian M. (2003). An Introduction to the Sign of Art.

# Latin Refrences:

- Baker Geoffry H. (1992). Design strategies in architecture. New York: *Van Nostrad Reinhold Pub*. Co, 1992.
- Eco U. (1979). A theory of Semiotic. Milan: Bloomington.
- Martin R. (2000). Dictionary of Semiotics. Cassell, London & New York.
- Sattari Sarbangholi H. (2005). The manifestation of mysticism, light and color in the architecture of mosques. *Art and Architecture of Mosques*: 552-570.
- Straten R. (2000). An Interoduction to Iconography. New York, Taylor and Francis.
- Tilich P. (1996). The Philosophy of Religious Language. *Journal of the American Academy of Religion*: 122.



#### How to refer to this article:

Yarmahmoodi, Zahra, Niknahad, Fatemeh. (2022). Study and Explanation of The System of Signs in The Reading of Religious Architecture of Zandieh Period (Case Study: Vakil Mosque, Shiraz), Iranian Urbanism, 5 (8), 189-211.

#### COPYRIGHTS

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with publication rights granted to the Iranian Urbanism Journal. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

**URL:** <u>https://www.shahrsaziiran.com/1401-5-7-article12/</u> **DOR:** https://dorl.net/dor/20.1001.1.27170918.1401.5.8.12.0